

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/643,380 08/19/2003 Gottfried Brem KLAUS1.002C1 3605 **EXAMINER** 20995 10/26/2006 7590 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP SAM, CHARLES H 2040 MAIN STREET **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614 3731

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant	(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/643,380	BREM, GO	BREM, GOTTFRIED	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Charles H. Sam	3731		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 July 2006.				
<i>'</i>	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/13/04. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/13/04. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/13/04.				ation (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendrikx EP 1 060 662 in view of Gerhardi 3,405,688. Hendrikx discloses the invention as claimed except for a second pin. However, Gerhardi discloses an ear tag 41 secured to an animal ear by pins 34. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hendrikx by having one additional pin in view of Gerhardi for preventing rotational movement of the ear tag about the first pin.

Regarding claim 2, Hendrikx discloses the cutting head 5 and the cap 15 having depressions for receiving a sample of tissue material 10. Note figure 4.

Regarding claim 3, Hendrikx discloses the pin 2 as shown in figures 1-3.

Regarding claim 4, note figures 1-4.

Regarding claims 5-8, the Hendrikx device is inherently capable of performing the recited method steps.

Response to the Applicant Remarks

2. The applicant arguments filed on 7/3/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The amended claim 1 required new ground of rejection, a combination of the Hendrikx and Gerhardi references.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles H. Sam whose telephone number is (571) 272-4703. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

04

CS

October 12, 2006

ANHTUAN T. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER